

EXHIBIT E19

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1271</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES:</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 FOR PLAINTIFFS: SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER BARTLETT</p> <p>4 BY: CHRISTOPHER J. PANATIER, ESQ.</p> <p>5 LEAH C. KAGAN, ESQ.</p> <p>6 3780 KILROY AIRPORT WAY</p> <p>7 SUITE 540</p> <p>8 LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90806</p> <p>9 (562) 590-3400</p> <p>10 BY: JAY STUEMKE, ESQ.</p> <p>11 3232 MCKINNEY AVENUE</p> <p>12 SUITE 610</p> <p>13 DALLAS, TEXAS 75204</p> <p>14</p> <p>15 and</p> <p>16 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP</p> <p>17 BY: SHARLA J. FROST, ESQ.</p> <p>18 GWENDOLYN S. FROST, ESQ.</p> <p>19 405 MAIN STREET</p> <p>20 SUITE 500</p> <p>21 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002</p> <p>22 (281) 657-0732</p> <p>23</p> <p>24 and</p> <p>25 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP</p> <p>26 BY: MORTON DONALD DUBIN II, ESQ.</p> <p>27 51 WEST 52ND STREET</p> <p>28 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019</p> <p>(212) 506-3752</p> <p>29 FOR IMERYS TALC AMERICA and CYPRUS AMAX:</p> <p>30 ALSTON & BIRD</p> <p>31 BY: TODD B. BENOFF, ESQ.</p> <p>32 PETER E. MASAITIS, ESQ.</p> <p>33 333 SOUTH HOPE STREET</p> <p>34 16TH FLOOR</p> <p>35 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071</p> <p>36 (213) 576-1000</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1273</p> <p>1 EXHIBITS</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 OCTOBER 25, 2017; A.M. SESSION</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 FOR IN WITHDRAWN/</p> <p>6 PLAINTIFFS' I.D. EVIDENCE REJECTED</p> <p>7 1205 Longo CV 1284</p> <p>8 1206 Longo's 1304</p> <p>9 Report</p> <p>10 1207 Photos with 1304</p> <p>11 Longo's</p> <p>12 Report</p> <p>13 1208 Longo's 1305</p> <p>14 Size-</p> <p>15 Distribution</p> <p>16 Analysis</p> <p>17 1208-A Longo's 1305</p> <p>18 Quality</p> <p>19 Control</p> <p>20 Analysis</p> <p>21</p> <p>22 3099 Longo 1276</p> <p>23 Presentation</p> <p>24</p> <p>25 FOR IN WITHDRAWN/</p> <p>26 DEFENDANTS' I.D. EVIDENCE REJECTED</p> <p>27</p> <p>28 201 40 CFR 1364</p> <p>763.83</p> <p>Subpart E</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1272</p> <p>1 M A S T E R I N D E X</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 OCTOBER 25, 2017; A.M. SESSION</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 CHRONOLOGICAL/ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES</p> <p>6</p> <p>7 PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS</p> <p>8 LONGO, WILLIAM E. 1276 1342</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> <p>26</p> <p>27</p> <p>28</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1274</p> <p>1 CASE NUMBER: BC464315</p> <p>2 CASE NAME: HERFORD VS. AT&T</p> <p>3 PASADENA, CALIFORNIA WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2017</p> <p>4 DEPARTMENT NER HON. C. EDWARD SIMPSON, JUDGE</p> <p>5 REPORTER: IRENE KUBERT, CSR NO. 10105</p> <p>6 TIME: A.M. SESSION</p> <p>7 APPEARANCES: (AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)</p> <p>8 -o0o-</p> <p>9</p> <p>10 (At 9:07 a.m. the following</p> <p>11 proceedings were held in open court</p> <p>12 out of the presence of the jury:)</p> <p>13 THE COURT: Good morning.</p> <p>14 (A chorus of "good mornings" was</p> <p>15 heard.)</p> <p>16 THE COURT: What do we have planned for us this</p> <p>17 morning?</p> <p>18 MR. PANATIER: We're going to call</p> <p>19 Dr. William Longo live. He's here. And then in the</p> <p>20 afternoon we have our treating surgeon, who is going to</p> <p>21 come hopefully right at 1:30. And if Dr. Longo is still</p> <p>22 going, we would like to interrupt that testimony to call</p> <p>23 the surgeon; and then Dr. Longo will finish.</p> <p>24 THE COURT: Okay. I think we're ready.</p> <p>25 MR. BENOFF: Your Honor, before they come in, just</p> <p>26 some follow-up issues related to motions in limine on</p> <p>27 Dr. Longo.</p> <p>28 THE COURT: No, you should have brought those up</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1295</p> <p>1 .1 percent, you most -- you would not be able to say 2 there was anything present.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. All right. And then so I called it a 4 microscope, but XRD isn't actually a microscope.</p> <p>5 A. No. I was going to correct you, but I 6 didn't know if I should or not.</p> <p>7 Q. Well, I corrected myself. So that's not a 8 microscope, but it is an analytical tool?</p> <p>9 A. It is an analytical tool. But not being a 10 microscope, you can say there's tremolite there but you 11 don't know the morphology because it's not a microscope.</p> <p>12 Q. And then polarized light microscopy or just 13 light microscopy in general -- what can we do with that 14 as it pertains to something like asbestos?</p> <p>15 A. Typically polarized light microscopy in 16 asbestos is for what we call bulk samples. These are -- 17 you take a piece of a ceiling tile and you send it to 18 our lab or one of the other labs that are certified to 19 do this. And they'll pick it apart, and they'll be 20 picking little fiber materials that they can see in the 21 bulk sample and put it in the polarized light.</p> <p>22 By changing the direction of the light and 23 polarization, you can get these asbestos fibers to turn 24 colors and lose colors, depending on what you're 25 doing -- you can use refractive index oils -- and 26 determine exactly what asbestos it is.</p> <p>27 So you can say, yes, it's one of the 28 different asbestos -- regulated asbestos, and this is</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1297</p> <p>1 absolutely missed. Any small bundles will absolutely be 2 missed.</p> <p>3 Q. I'll draw a little example here. So if you 4 had a fiber that was on your slide in the microscope and 5 let's say it was 100 microns long but it was .25 or less 6 microns wide -- so its width is less than .25 and its 7 length is 100 -- would you expect to be able to see that 8 fiber with a light microscope?</p> <p>9 A. Probably not. You're right on the edge. 10 But you would be able to see if something is there. But 11 you wouldn't be able to go through the analytical 12 polarization to identify. That's really what we're 13 talking about. It's not so much seeing it. Can we tell 14 what it is.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. All right. And then TEM, 16 transmission electron microscopy -- comparing the 17 magnification abilities of that to light microscope, can 18 you do that?</p> <p>19 A. A polarized light microscope, if you're 20 following the protocols, call for about 400 to 500 21 times. You know, they've got new digital state of the 22 art. We were actually looking at one yesterday that I 23 want so bad because I'm a nerd.</p> <p>24 Q. Right.</p> <p>25 A. It can go up to 4,000 times. That's a 26 \$100,000 optical microscope. The transmission electron 27 microscope, on the other hand, typically we've had 28 microscopes, and still do, that easily go up to a</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1296</p> <p>1 approximately how much.</p> <p>2 Q. Does light microscopy have any limitations 3 when it comes to looking for asbestos in a sample?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, because it uses light.</p> <p>5 Q. And what are those limitations?</p> <p>6 A. It can't resolve by polarized light. It can 7 resolve things smaller than the wavelength of light. 8 And when you polarize it -- and that's the key here, to 9 see the color changes, is to do the polarization -- is 10 very limited on looking at smaller and smaller-sized 11 bundles and fibers of asbestos.</p> <p>12 So you can only see the very biggest 13 bundles. You can't see individual fibers or resolve 14 them to where you can analyze what it is. You can maybe 15 see it. But to get the polarization and the dispersion 16 staining that they do, you have to have a certain 17 thickness of fiber. And that's the problem with it.</p> <p>18 Q. What approximately is the thickness of the 19 fiber that a light microscope can see?</p> <p>20 A. To see, you can see down to .25 micrometers, 21 but you're not -- it's not just seeing. You've got to 22 do the different polarizations to be able to see the 23 color changes. And there you have a problem because you 24 can't -- you might be able to see the fiber, but you 25 can't identify it.</p> <p>26 So you have to have approximately a half a 27 micron, maybe up to a micron in size, depending on how 28 good your PLM scope is. So any single fibers will be</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1298</p> <p>1 million times. So you can start actually seeing the 2 atom structure and the lattices where you can actually 3 see where the atoms are at such high magnification. So 4 it doesn't have any size restriction on what size fiber 5 you can see.</p> <p>6 Q. You can go to a million times with an 7 electron microscope?</p> <p>8 A. Depending on -- the ones that they have in 9 universities or in Japan, you can go to two, three 10 million times. And then if you just take a picture and 11 go 8 by 10, you add another three or four times on 12 there. We have pictures that are taken at a 6 million 13 magnification.</p> <p>14 Q. So when we look through a transmission 15 electron microscope -- these are just some examples -- 16 are these the types of structures that you might see if 17 you're doing an analysis specifically for asbestos?</p> <p>18 A. Yes. The only thing we're missing would be 19 what's known as a matrix.</p> <p>20 Q. And what is a matrix?</p> <p>21 A. Well, if we go to the far left and we see -- 22 see that one fiber there?</p> <p>23 Q. Yep.</p> <p>24 A. That's a borderline. You would call that a 25 matrix.</p> <p>26 Q. Why?</p> <p>27 A. Because it's got material around it.</p> <p>28 Q. Okay. So like this stuff here and down</p>

Page 1299

1 here?

2 A. Yeah, but really what we see is -- I call it

3 the hairy-ball effect, where you've got something

4 that's -- you have fibers sticking out of it. That's a

5 matrix.

6 Q. And these are just terms that are used to

7 characterize what the technician sees; is that fair?

8 A. It's fair. When the counting rules were

9 first being put together, everybody could agree that

10 that's a single fiber.

11 Q. Uh-huh.

12 A. Very rarely could you get technicians and

13 analysts, and even me and others, to say exactly how

14 many fibers are in that bundle.

15 Q. Right.

16 A. Right. So instead of trying to say, okay,

17 I've got a bundle, but there's really 25 fibers in

18 there, we all agreed -- it's agreed that it's just

19 called a bundle.

20 Q. So it's --

21 A. One bundle. And it's a structure. You'll

22 hear "asbestos structures." A fiber is a structure. A

23 bundle is a structure. And then a cluster is also a

24 structure.

25 Q. Okay. So, for instance, this is -- so it

26 looks like -- I mean in that last example in the

27 cluster, it looks like there's lots of different fibers;

28 right? But if they're overlapping, you just call them

Page 1300

1 one structure?

2 A. If there are two overlapping, that's two.

3 Q. Okay.

4 A. If I get a third one in there -- if I had a

5 pointer, I could show you. If you look at the cluster

6 towards the top, you see where we have three

7 intersecting sides?

8 Q. Like up there there are three. One, two,

9 three?

10 A. Three. And then we have intersecting sides

11 here. So we would call that one structure on the count

12 sheet.

13 Q. Even though it might be multiple fibers?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. Now, is this a picture of the scanning

16 electron microscope at MAS, your actual scope?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Is that your actual Sumo wrestler doll?

19 A. No, that's not my actual Sumo wrestler doll.

20 When you buy this level of microscope, they give you one

21 of those.

22 Q. Okay.

23 A. We won't be collecting a bunch of them.

24 Q. All right. So this is a scanning electron

25 microscope. And just briefly, what's the difference

26 between a scanning electron microscope and a

27 transmission electron microscope?

28 A. If we can go back to that photograph?

Page 1301

1 Q. Sure.

2 A. No, the one where we showed the different

3 microscopes.

4 Q. Oh, sure.

5 A. So we have a transmission electron

6 microscope. And the T, transmission, means your

7 sample -- it's an electron beam. Optical uses light.

8 And let's pretend the wavelength of light, one photon,

9 is this big, one wave. You can't really see anything

10 smaller than that wavelength of light unless you do some

11 digital enhancement, because that's what we call

12 resolution.

13 You have to have something smaller, looking

14 at your object, than what the object is. So if this is

15 a wavelength of light -- and I said maybe the best

16 optical microscope, 4,000 times. An electron would fit

17 on the tip of my finger if we scaled it. So we're using

18 electrons -- hence, the name transmission electron

19 microscopes -- to image what we're interested in.

20 In the transmission, if you go right in the

21 middle, right there -- in the middle of that column, you

22 can see something sticking off to the right-hand side.

23 That's where the sample goes.

24 So the electron beam comes down the column.

25 We usually run ours at 100,000 volts. It makes the

26 electrons -- a lot of electronic engineering -- it makes

27 it into a nice beam. It goes through our sample that we

28 have sitting in front of it. It's like an X-ray. X-ray

Page 1302

1 goes through, and the bone stops the X-rays, and then

2 the tissue, not so much. And you take the photograph of

3 it, and it gives you that.

4 We're doing just about the same thing here.

5 The fibers stop some of the electrons because of the

6 thickness. And right next to it the electrons freely go

7 through, and that gives you that nice resolution just

8 like that.

9 We're actually looking at structures inside

10 those fibers. Do you see that contrast difference in

11 the fibers?

12 Q. Like where they cross over in kind of --

13 A. If you go to that really long one --

14 Q. This one?

15 A. No, over here.

16 Q. Oh, this right here.

17 A. And you see the contrast difference through

18 there? That's the crystalline structure of that fiber

19 where we have some defects. And it's causing scatter of

20 the electrons. That's why it's such a valuable tool, is

21 when you have really thin samples, you can see the

22 internal structure.

23 Q. And how does that compare with an SEM?

24 A. The SEM is a scanning electron microscope.

25 So doesn't have the beam going through. It scans like a

26 TV. Hence the scanning name. And it rasters very fast,

27 like the old TVs, not the new digital ones. So it scans

28 very fast. So if I had an electron beam scanning over

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1303</p> <p>1 my finger, areas where the electrons hit the most are 2 causing the material underneath to eject electrons 3 because of the energy. 4 We have detectors that see that and know how 5 to map it in space so you get high contrast. Very, very 6 good method for looking at three-dimensional surface 7 features. 8 Q. Okay. And is this one of the TEMs at MAS? 9 A. It is. 10 Q. And here's another one. Just generally, are 11 these different in some way? 12 A. The one before that is 120,000 volt. That's 13 one of our typical asbestos TEMs because we only go up 14 sometimes 50,000 or 60,000. 15 The next one is a 200,000 volt. And that's 16 our high-resolution TEM. That one will go up to a 17 million times. 18 Q. And let me just ask you, because I'm 19 thinking about it. In your lab, before you do a sample, 20 do you do blanks or lab blanks or controls? 21 A. Yes. When we process the samples, we put a 22 lab blank along with it so that it -- everything happens 23 the same as with the real sample except there's no real 24 sample. Whatever process we have to prepare that 25 sample, we do the exact same thing so we can look for 26 potential contamination in the lab. 27 Q. And did you do that for the studies we asked 28 you to conduct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1305</p> <p>1 MR. PANATIER: So that's those two. 2 Q. Did you do what's called a size-distribution 3 analysis? 4 A. Yes. 5 MR. PANATIER: And we're going to mark that as 6 Exhibit 1208. 7 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1208 was marked 8 for identification by the judicial 9 assistant.) 10 Q. BY MR. PANATIER: And then did you do 11 quality control analysis? 12 A. Yes. 13 MR. PANATIER: We'll mark that as 1208-A. 14 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1208-A was 15 marked for identification by the 16 judicial assistant.) 17 MR. PANATIER: There you go. 18 Q. All right. So I think that's all of the 19 documentation your lab provided to us. And I will -- 20 I'm going to give you a big report called Backup Data if 21 you need to refer to it. Okay? 22 A. Okay. 23 Q. All right. So first of all, did you have a 24 methodology that you followed to prepare the samples? 25 A. Yes. 26 Q. Okay. And this is a picture of an article 27 "Amphibole content of cosmetic and pharmaceutical 28 talcs," by A.M. Blount.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1304</p> <p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. All right. So did you analyze 3 samples of Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder as well as 4 Shower to Shower? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. And I guess so we can -- really quick, are 7 you here to talk about who owned what mines? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Or the geology of mines? 10 A. I'm not a geologist. 11 Q. Okay. And are you here to talk about what 12 mines supplied talc to which samples you looked at? 13 A. No, sir. 14 Q. What was your purpose in looking at these 15 samples? 16 A. As a microscopist, I was asked to look at 17 these samples to see if we could detect any amphibole 18 asbestos in the samples. That was our job. 19 Q. Okay. So just so we can talk about this 20 going forward, we have marked your report as Plaintiffs' 21 Exhibit 1206. 22 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1206 was marked 23 for identification by the judicial 24 assistant.) 25 MR. PANATIER: Photographs of the product as 1207. 26 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1207 was marked 27 for identification by the judicial 28 assistant.)</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1306</p> <p>1 Is this the methodology that you followed? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Now, there's a preparation methodology. 4 That's what this says. Can you please explain your 5 preparation methodology and why you chose this 6 methodology to prepare the samples. 7 A. The preparation methodology is -- 8 essentially you start with the sample of talc, and you 9 can either take that, suspended it in water or what have 10 you, filter it onto a filter, and then prepare it for 11 transmission electron microscopy. 12 When you do that, you're not only -- if, by 13 chance, there is detectable asbestos in there, you're 14 not only getting that on the filter, you're getting all 15 the talc. And there is so much more talc than asbestos, 16 we have to dilute the samples so it doesn't cover up the 17 grids so badly that you can't see through them or 18 analyze what's under them. 19 Or you can use the Blount method in which, 20 instead of putting it just in water, you put it in 21 what's known as a heavy liquid. And what "heavy liquid" 22 means is it has certain densities. And densities is the 23 amount of atoms per mass. 24 So if I have a heavy liquid that has a 25 density of 2.85 grams per cubic foot centimeter and I 26 want to find tremolite that has a density of 3.1 to 27 3.2 grams per centimeter, it's heavier than that density 28 liquid. Talc, on the other hand, has a density of 2.6.</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NER HON. C. EDWARD SIMPSON, JUDGE

LAOSD ASBESTOS CASES,)No. JCCP 4674
Coordinated Proceeding Special Title)
(Rule 3.550))

)
)
TINA HERFORD and DOUGLAS HERFORD,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)No. BC646315
)
AT&T CORP., a subsidiary of AT&T INC. and)
its subsidiary PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE)
COMPANY, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

)

I, IRENE KUBERT, CSR No. 10105, Official Reporter
Pro Tempore of the Superior Court of the State of
California, for the County of Los Angeles, do hereby
certify that the foregoing pages, 1274 through 1392 ,
comprise a full, true, and correct transcript of the
proceedings and testimony taken in the matter of the
above-entitled cause on October 25, 2017.

Dated this 25th day of October, 2017.



IRENE KUBERT, RMR, CRR, CLR
Official Reporter Pro Tempore, CSR No. 10105